

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,775	02/24/2004	Koreshige Ito	Q80024	8339
23373	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			HESS, BRUCE H	
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037		1774	
		•	DATE MAIL ED: 04/11/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>"</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,775	ITO, KORESHIGE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bruce H. Hess	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply		•					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	YS,				
Status	/51	1.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.	16-06 (Author	tion)					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 4,6 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
The pain of declaration is objected to by the L	.xammer. Note the attache	Office Action of format 10-102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		• •					
application from the International Burea		Ţ.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/784,775 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

I. The restriction requirement of the last Office action is adhered to and made final for the reasons of record.

- 2. Claims 1-3, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by the either of the patents to Vincent et al. (USP 3,968,060; see column 6, line 11) or Chen et al. (USP 6,133,197; see column 6, lines 5-7).
- 3, Claims 1-3, 5, 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of the patents to Vincent et al. or Chen et al.

These patents teach microcapsule-containing compositions comprising a) microcapsules having polyurethane/ isocyanate (Vincent et al.) or polyurea/isocyanate (Chen et al.) walls and b) a compound of a transition element from Group IV of the Periodic Table (i.e., titanium dioxide). The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine compositional proportions) fails to render applicant's claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

4. Claims 4, 6 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINED GROUP 1300

Buce Des